## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

ACADEMY OF ALLERGY & ASTHMA	)
IN PRIMARY CARE; and UNITED	)
BIOLOGICS, LLC d/b/a United Allergy	)
Services,	)
Plaintiffs,	) )
V.	) CIVIL NO. SA-17-CA-1122-FB (HJB)
SUPERIOR HEALTHPLAN, INC.; and	)
CENTENE CORP.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

## ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 180) concerning the motion to dismiss claims (docket no. 146) filed by defendant Centene Corporation ("Centene"), along with Centene's written objections (docket no. 181) thereto, plaintiffs' response (docket no. 187) to Centene's objections, and Centene's reply (docket no. 189) in support of its objections.

Where no party has objected to a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of the Report and Recommendation. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). In such cases, the Court need only review the Report and Recommendation and determine whether it is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989).

On the other hand, any Report and Recommendation to which objection is made requires de

novo review by the Court. Such a review means that the Court will examine the entire record, and will

make an independent assessment of the law. The Court need not, however, conduct a de novo review

when the objections are frivolous, conclusive, or general in nature. Battle v. United States Parole

Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

The Court has thoroughly analyzed the parties' submissions in light of the entire record. As

required by Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), the Court has conducted an independent review of the entire

record in this cause and has conducted a de novo review with respect to those matters raised by the

objections. After due consideration, the Court concludes the objections lack merit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge (docket no.180) is ACCEPTED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that the

Motion to Dismiss Claims Against Centene Corporation (docket no. 146) is DENIED.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 15th day of December, 2020.

FRED BIERY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2